



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/321,090

05/28/1999

RICHARD L. FRANK

ORA99-03-(OI

5972

21005

7590

09/23/2002

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.
530 VIRGINIA ROAD
P.O. BOX 9133
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133

EXAMINER

BENSON, WALTER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2858

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/321,090	Applicant(s) Frank et al.	
	Examiner Walter Benson	Art Unit 2858	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Walter Benson (3) _____
 (2) Rodney D. Johnson, Reg No 36,558 (4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 18, 2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]
 Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims 1,4, and 5

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants representative requested that the finality of the case be withdrawn because the reference that was applied on the second action was published after the date of the first action. Examiner stated that substantive changes were made to the claims requiring a new reference based on these changes. Applicant's agent indicated that the changes were not substantive and a petition would follow to remove finality.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Walter Benson
 Examiner's signature, if required